3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS

1

3 3.1 ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED

- 4 The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this Project,
- 5 involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" (prior to mitigation)
- 6 as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

	Aesthetics		Agriculture Resources	\boxtimes	Air Quality
\boxtimes	Biological Resources		Cultural Resources		Geology/Soils
\boxtimes	Hazards & Hazardous Materials		Hydrology/Water Quality		Land Use/Planning
	Mineral Resources		Noise		Population/Housing
\boxtimes	Public Services		Recreation		Transportation/Traffic
\boxtimes	Utilities/Service Systems	\boxtimes	Mandatory Findings of Significance		

1 3.2 DETERMINATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT 2 On the basis of this initial evaluation: 3 ☐ I find that the proposed Project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared. 4 5 □ I find that although the proposed Project could have a significant effect on the 6 environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in 7 the Project have been made by or agreed to by the applicant. A MITIGATED 8 NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared. 9 ☐ I find that the proposed Project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, 10 and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required. 11 ☐ I find that the proposed Project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or 12 "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one 13 effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to 14 applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. 15 An 16 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the 17 effects that remain to be addressed. 18 □ I find that although the proposed Project could have a significant effect on the 19 environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed 20 adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable 21 standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or 22 NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are 23 imposed upon the proposed Project, nothing further is required. March 25, 2009 Signature Date California State Lands Commission Eric Gillies

Printed Name

For

1 3.3 EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

- 2 The MND follows the environmental checklist form presented in Appendix G of the
- 3 California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines. The checklist form is used to
- 4 describe the impacts of the proposed Project. A discussion follows each environmental
- 5 issue identified in the checklist.
- 6 For this checklist, the following designations are used:
- 7 Potentially Significant Impact: An impact that could be significant, and for which no
- 8 mitigation has been identified. If any potentially significant impacts are identified and
- 9 cannot be mitigated, an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must be prepared.
- 10 Less-Than-Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated: An impact that requires
- 11 mitigation to reduce the impact to a less-than-significant level.
- 12 **Less-Than-Significant Impact**: Any impact that would be adverse, but not considered
- 13 significant.
- 14 No Impact: The Project would not have any impact. This could also include a
- 15 beneficial impact.
- 16 Impacts are also classified as:
- Class I (significant adverse impact that remains significant after mitigation):
- Class II (significant adverse impact that can be eliminated or reduced below an issue's significance criteria);
- Class III (adverse impact that does not meet or exceed an issue's significance criteria); or
- Class IV (beneficial impact).
- 23 Each environmental issue area analyzed in this document provides background
- 24 information and describes the environmental setting (baseline conditions) to help the
- 25 reader understand the conditions that would cause an impact to occur. In addition,
- 26 each section describes how an impact is determined to be "significant" or "less than
- 27 significant." Finally, the individual sections recommend mitigation measures (MMs) to
- 28 reduce significant impacts. Throughout Section 3.0, both impacts and the
- 29 corresponding MMs are identified by a bold **letter-number designation** (e.g., Impact
- 30 **BIO-1** and **MM BIO-1a**).

1 Applicant Proposed Measures

- 2 Applicant Proposed Measures (APMs) have been identified by Coscol in its Application
- 3 submitted to the CSLC. The APMs are listed in Section 2.5.1, Applicant Proposed
- 4 Measures. The impact analyses presented in Section 3.3 assume that all APMs would
- 5 be implemented by Coscol and, where appropriate in specific subsections, applicable
- 6 APMs are cited. Where it was determined that the APMs would not fully mitigate the
- 7 impacts for which they are presented, additional mitigation measures are
- 8 recommended.